CA 925 /90 (02)

APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 2683 Name [illegible]

Father's Name [illegible] Residence [illegible]

Caste (Tribe) Age 40 yrs Sentenced to [illegible]

on 18/6/90 Under section 302 I.P.C. by [illegible]

S.T.N. 210/88

It is explaine d to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represent ed by a legal practitioner the Appelate Court wi ll not proce ed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal pra-ctitioner does not appear with in seven days he may be heard at all if the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of application for copy of Judgement : N/D
2. Date of which copy received : 18/6/90
3. Date on which Appeal sent : 22/6/90
4. Whether the prisoner wished to be represented or not : Yes ~~No~~

No. 2683 Name [illegible]

Continued in 36/88 to 12/6/90 Jail JODHPUR

No. 59/Cr dated 22/6/90

Forwarded to the CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE JODHPUR to gather with a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appilliate Court.

Superintendent Central/Distt./Sub Jail

Date of receipt in C.J.M.'s Office 28/6/90

Date of receipt of record to accompany 10/7/90

Memo of Appeal of the Appeliate Court

No. 25/90 Dated 18/7/90

Forwarded to the Sessions Judge Jodhpur

C.J.Magistrate CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE JODHPUR

(15)

(2)

(14)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cr.A. 925/40 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench : **Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin, J &** **Hon'ble Shri Dilip Deshmukh, J** **30-06-2005** Shri Avinash Mishra, counsel for the appellant. Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the State informs that the appellant Banjami Kova has been released from Central Jail, Jagdalpur on 18-12-2002 on completion of sentence. Learned counsel for both the parties submit that since the accused had already undergone the sentence, the appeal has become infructuous. In view of the above submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the appeal is dismissed as infructuous. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge	